FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 259 OF 2006
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST. NO. 29438 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3922 OF 2004
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2006
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST. NO. 29442 OF 2005

Office Notes, Office Memorada orders or directions and Registrar's	Court's or Judge's Orders. orders.
	Anant Laxman Nikam - petitioner in person present. Representative of Union of India- present.
	CORAM; V.G.PALSHIKAR & SMT.NISHITA MHATRE,JJ. **DTAED: 30TH JUNE,2006.**
	P.C.
	1. In C.A. No.259 of 2006.
	Heard applicant in person. C.A.No. 259/06 is allowed for the reasons mentioned therein. Delay of 195 days is condoned.
	2. In C.A.No.260 of 200**6**
	Heard the applicant in person. Delay condoned for the reasons mentioned in the application.

3. Heard the petitioner in person on both the Review Petitions. The order of which review is sought was passed after considering the points raised in the main petition. There is nothing which was not considered on record which requires review of the order. Hence both the review petitions are rejected.

....